

EFFICIENT NUMERICAL ALGORITHMS FOR THREE-DIMENSIONAL FRACTIONAL PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Weihua Deng and Minghua Chen

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

Email: dengwh@lzu.edu.cn, chenmh09@163.com

Abstract

This paper detailedly discusses the locally one-dimensional numerical methods for efficiently solving the three-dimensional fractional partial differential equations, including fractional advection diffusion equation and Riesz fractional diffusion equation. The second order finite difference scheme is used to discretize the space fractional derivative and the Crank-Nicolson procedure to the time derivative. We theoretically prove and numerically verify that the presented numerical methods are unconditionally stable and second order convergent in both space and time directions. In particular, for the Riesz fractional diffusion equation, the idea of reducing the splitting error is used to further improve the algorithm, and the unconditional stability and convergency are also strictly proved and numerically verified for the improved scheme.

Mathematics subject classification: 26A33, 65L20.

Key words: Fractional partial differential equations, Numerical stability, Locally one dimensional method, Crank-Nicolson procedure, Alternating direction implicit method.

1. Introduction

The history of fractional calculus can go back to more than three hundred years ago [12], almost the same as classical calculus. Nowadays it has become more and more popular among various scientific fields, covering anomalous diffusion, materials and mechanical, signal processing and systems identification, control and robotics, rheology, fluid flow, signal processing, and electrical networks et al [15]. Meanwhile, the diverse fractional partial differential equations (fractional PDEs), as models, appear naturally in the corresponding field.

There are already some important progress for numerically solving the fractional PDEs. The methods used for classical PDEs are well extended to fractional PDEs, for example, the finite difference method [2, 18, 19, 20], finite element method [4, 8], and spectral method [14]. However, almost all of them concentrate on one or two dimensional problems. There are already some good developments for realizing the operator splitting (locally one dimension) to solve the classical PDEs. This paper focuses on extending the alternating direction implicit (ADI) methods to the three-dimensional fractional PDEs, and improving their efficiency.

The Peaceman and Rachford alternating direction implicit method (PR-ADI) [16] works well for two-dimensional problems. But it can not be extended to higher dimensional problems. Douglas type alternating direction implicit methods (D-ADI) [5, 6, 7] are valid for any dimensional equations. And PR-ADI and D-ADI are equivalent in two dimensional problems. In this

paper, we consider the following three-dimensional fractional advection diffusion equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} = & d_1^x {}_{x_L}D_x^\alpha u(x, y, z, t) + d_2^x {}_xD_{x_R}^\alpha u(x, y, z, t) \\ & + d_1^y {}_{y_L}D_y^\beta u(x, y, z, t) + d_2^y {}_yD_{y_R}^\beta u(x, y, z, t) \\ & + d_1^z {}_{z_L}D_z^\gamma u(x, y, z, t) + d_2^z {}_zD_{z_R}^\gamma u(x, y, z, t) \\ & + \kappa_x \frac{\partial u(x, y, z, t)}{\partial x} + \kappa_y \frac{\partial u(x, y, z, t)}{\partial y} + \kappa_z \frac{\partial u(x, y, z, t)}{\partial z} \\ & + f(x, y, z, t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

and the Riesz fractional diffusion equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x, y, z, t)}{\partial t} = & d_1^x ({}_{x_L}D_x^\alpha u(x, y, z, t) + {}_xD_{x_R}^\alpha u(x, y, z, t)) \\ & + d_1^y ({}_{y_L}D_y^\beta u(x, y, z, t) + {}_yD_{y_R}^\beta u(x, y, z, t)) \\ & + d_1^z ({}_{z_L}D_z^\gamma u(x, y, z, t) + {}_zD_{z_R}^\gamma u(x, y, z, t)) \\ & + f(x, y, z, t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1')$$

both with the initial condition

$$u(x, y, z, 0) = u_0(x, y, z) \quad \text{for } (x, y, z) \in \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

and the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u(x, y, z, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, y, z, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, T], \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Omega = (x_L, x_R) \times (y_L, y_R) \times (z_L, z_R) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $0 < t \leq T$, and the fractional orders $1 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma < 2$; and $f(x, y, z, t)$ is a forcing function; and all the coefficients are non-negative constants. The fractional derivatives used in (1.1) and (1.1') are defined as, for $1 < \mu < 2$,

$${}_{x_L}D_x^\mu u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \int_{x_L}^x (x-\xi)^{1-\mu} u(\xi) d\xi \quad (1.4)$$

and

$${}_xD_{x_R}^\mu u(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\mu)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \int_x^{x_R} (\xi-x)^{1-\mu} u(\xi) d\xi. \quad (1.5)$$

From the viewpoint of conversation law, the advection term in the advection diffusion equation should be first order classical derivative, and the fractional derivative corresponding to the diffusion term should be Riemann-Liouville one.

For the two-dimensional case of (1.1)-(1.3), PR-ADI and D-ADI are discussed and we show that they are equivalent for two-dimensional equations. We use D-ADI for the three-dimensional (1.1)-(1.3). The second order finite difference scheme is used to discretize the space fractional derivative and the Crank-Nicolson procedure to the time direction. We theoretically prove and numerically confirm that the given numerical schemes are unconditionally stable and second order convergent in both space and time directions. In general, the ADI methods introduce new error term, called the splitting error, comparing with the original discretizations. Usually the splitting error term does not affect the convergent order, but most of the time it lowers the accuracy seriously. For (1.1'), we use the idea in [7] to reduce the splitting error from $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$ to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$ at reasonable computational cost and then recover the accuracy of the original discretization, the improved ADI will be called D-ADI-II. The fractional step (FS) method is

also simply discussed to show that, after a minor modification to reduce the splitting error from $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$ to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$, it is equivalent to D-ADI-II.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the second order finite difference schemes for the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives (1.4) and (1.5), and the full discretization schemes of the one-dimensional and two-dimensional case of (1.1)-(1.3) and (1.1)-(1.3) itself are detailedly provided. Section 3 discusses improving the accuracy and efficiency of ADI, presents D-ADI-II for (1.1'), and shows that, after a minor modification of the FS method, it is equivalent to D-ADI-II. We do the convergence and stability analysis for the schemes used in this paper in Section 4. The numerical results are given in Section 5 and we conclude this paper with some discussions in the last section.

2. Discretization Schemes

We use fourth subsections to derive the full discretization of (1.1), and the corresponding schemes of (1.1') can be obtained by letting $d_1^x = d_2^x$, $d_1^y = d_2^y$, $d_1^z = d_2^z$, and $\kappa_x = \kappa_y = \kappa_z = 0$. The first subsection introduces the second order finite difference schemes for the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives (1.4) and (1.5) in a finite interval given in [1] based on the idea of [18]. The second to fourth subsection present the D-ADI schemes for the one-dimensional and two-dimensional case of (1.1)-(1.3) and (1.1)-(1.3) itself, respectively.

2.1. Discretizations for the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives

Let the mesh points $x_i = x_L + i\Delta x$, $0 \leq i \leq N_x$, $y_j = y_L + j\Delta y$, $0 \leq j \leq N_y$, $z_m = z_L + m\Delta z$, $0 \leq m \leq N_z$ and $t_n = n\tau$, $0 \leq n \leq N_t$, where $\Delta x = (x_R - x_L)/N_x$, $\Delta y = (y_R - y_L)/N_y$, $\Delta z = (z_R - z_L)/N_z$, $\tau = T/N_t$, i.e., Δx , Δy and Δz are the uniform space stepsizes in the corresponding directions, τ the time stepsize. For $\mu \in (1, 2)$, the left and right Riemann-Liouville space fractional derivatives (1.3) and (1.4) have the second-order approximation operators $\delta'_{\mu,+x} u_{i,j,m}^n$ and $\delta'_{\mu,-x} u_{i,j,m}^n$, respectively, given in a finite domain [1, 18], where $u_{i,j,m}^n$ denotes the approximated value of $u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n)$.

The approximation operator of (1.4) is defined by [1, 18]

$$\delta'_{\mu,+x} u_{i,j,m}^n := \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\mu)(\Delta x)^\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{i+1} g_l^\mu u_{i-l+1,j,m}^n, \quad (2.1)$$

and there exists

$$x_L D_x^\mu u(x, y_j, z_m, t_n) \Big|_{x=x_i} = \delta'_{\mu,+x} u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\delta'_{\mu,+x} u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\mu)(\Delta x)^\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{i+1} g_l^\mu u(x_{i-l+1}, y_j, z_m, t_n),$$

and

$$g_l^\mu = \begin{cases} 1, & l = 0, \\ -4 + 2^{3-\mu}, & l = 1, \\ 6 - 2^{5-\mu} + 3^{3-\mu}, & l = 2, \\ (l+1)^{3-\mu} - 4l^{3-\mu} + 6(l-1)^{3-\mu} \\ \quad - 4(l-2)^{3-\mu} + (l-3)^{3-\mu}, & l \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Analogously, the approximation operator of (1.5) is described as [1]

$$\delta'_{\mu,-x} u_{i,j,m}^n := \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\mu)(\Delta x)^\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{N_x-i+1} g_l^\mu u_{i+l-1,j,m}^n, \quad (2.4)$$

where g_l^μ is defined by (2.3), and it holds that

$${}_x D_{x_R}^\mu u(x, y_j, z_m, t_n) \big|_{x=x_i} = \delta'_{\mu,-x} u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n) + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^2, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\delta'_{\mu,-x} u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\mu)(\Delta x)^\mu} \sum_{l=0}^{N_x-i+1} g_l^\mu u(x_{i+l-1}, y_j, z_m, t_n).$$

In the following, we introduce and list some discrete operators which work for the functions of three variables x , y , and z :

$$\begin{aligned} D'_{\alpha,x} u_{i,j,m}^n &= \frac{u_{i+1,j,m}^n - u_{i-1,j,m}^n}{2\Delta x}; & D''_{\alpha,x} u_{i,j,m}^n &= \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x} u_{i,j,m}^n; \\ \delta'_{\alpha,+x} u_{i,j,m}^n &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i-l+1,j,m}^n; & \delta''_{\alpha,+x} u_{i,j,m}^n &= d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} u_{i,j,m}^n; \\ \delta'_{\alpha,-x} u_{i,j,m}^n &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{N_x-i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i+l-1,j,m}^n; & \delta''_{\alpha,-x} u_{i,j,m}^n &= d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} u_{i,j,m}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

The discrete operators related to the variable x or y in the above also work for functions of two variables x and y , e.g., $D'_{\alpha,x} u_{i,j}^n = \frac{u_{i+1,j}^n - u_{i-1,j}^n}{2\Delta x}$; $\delta'_{\alpha,+x} u_{i,j}^n = \frac{1}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)\Delta x^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i-l+1,j}^n$.

Similarly, it is easy to get the one-dimensional and two-dimensional case of (2.1)-(2.6).

Remark 2.1 ([1]) Denoting $\tilde{U}^n = [u_1^n, u_2^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1}^n]^\top$, and rewriting (2.1) and (2.4) as matrix forms $\delta'_{\alpha,+x} \tilde{U}^n = \tilde{A} \tilde{U}^n + b_1$ and $\delta'_{\alpha,-x} \tilde{U}^n = \tilde{B} \tilde{U}^n + b_2$, respectively, then there exists $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}^\top$.

2.2. Numerical scheme for 1D

Consider the full discretization scheme to the one-dimensional case of (1.1), namely,

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} = d_1^x {}_{x_L} D_x^\alpha u(x, t) + d_2^x {}_x D_{x_R}^\alpha u(x, t) + \kappa_x \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} + f(x, t). \quad (2.7)$$

In the time direction, we use the Crank-Nicolson scheme. The central difference formula, left fractional approximation operator (2.2), and right fractional approximation operator (2.5) are respectively used to discretize the classical second order space derivative, left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. Taking the uniform time step τ and space step Δx , and taking u_i^n as the approximated value of $u(x_i, t_n)$ and $f_i^{n+1/2} = f(x_i, t_{n+1/2})$, where $t_{n+1/2} = (t_n + t_{n+1})/2$, using the one-dimensional case of (2.1)-(2.6), we can write (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u(x_i, t_{n+1}) - u(x_i, t_n)}{\tau} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} u(x_i, t_{n+1}) + d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} u(x_i, t_n) + d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} u(x_i, t_{n+1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} u(x_i, t_n) + \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x} u(x_i, t_{n+1}) + \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x} u(x_i, t_n) \right] \\ &\quad + f(x_i, t_{n+1/2}) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$D'_{\alpha,x}u(x_i, t_n) = \frac{u(x_{i+1}, t_n) - u(x_{i-1}, t_n)}{2\Delta x}.$$

Multiplying (2.8) by τ , we have the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} + d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} + \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x}\right)\right] u(x_i, t_{n+1}) \\ &= \left[1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} + d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} + \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x}\right)\right] u(x_i, t_n) + \tau f(x_i, t_{n+1/2}) + R_i^{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$|R_i^{n+1}| \leq \tilde{c}\tau(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2). \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, the full discretization of (2.7) has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} + d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} + \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x}\right)\right] u_i^{n+1} \\ &= \left[1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(d_1^x \delta'_{\alpha,+x} + d_2^x \delta'_{\alpha,-x} + \kappa_x D'_{\alpha,x}\right)\right] u_i^n + \tau f_i^{n+1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We can write (2.11) as

$$\begin{aligned} & u_i^{n+1} - \frac{\tau}{2} \left[\frac{d_1^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i-l+1}^{n+1} + \frac{d_2^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{N_x-i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i+l-1}^{n+1} + \frac{\kappa_x}{2\Delta x} (u_{i+1}^{n+1} - u_{i-1}^{n+1}) \right] \\ &= u_i^n + \frac{\tau}{2} \left[\frac{d_1^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i-l+1}^n + \frac{d_2^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{N_x-i+1} g_l^\alpha u_{i+l-1}^n + \frac{\kappa_x}{2\Delta x} (u_{i+1}^n - u_{i-1}^n) \right] \\ &+ \tau f_i^{n+1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

For the convenience of implementation, we use the matrix form of the grid functions

$$U^n = [u_1^n, u_2^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1}^n]^\top, \quad F^{n+1/2} = [f_1^{n+1/2}, f_2^{n+1/2}, \dots, f_{N_x-1}^{n+1/2}]^\top,$$

therefore, the finite difference scheme (2.12) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[I - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\frac{d_1^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A_\alpha + \frac{d_2^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A_\alpha^T + \frac{\kappa_x}{2\Delta x} B \right) \right] U^{n+1} \\ &= \left[I + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\frac{d_1^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A_\alpha + \frac{d_2^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A_\alpha^T + \frac{\kappa_x}{2\Delta x} B \right) \right] U^n + \tau F^{n+1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ g_2^\alpha & g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ g_3^\alpha & g_2^\alpha & g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \dots \\ g_{N_x-2}^\alpha & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha \\ g_{N_x-1}^\alpha & g_{N_x-2}^\alpha & g_{N_x-3}^\alpha & \cdots & g_2^\alpha & g_1^\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.14)$$

2.3. PR-ADI and D-ADI schemes for 2D

We now examine the full discretization scheme to the two-dimensional case of (1.1), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = & d_1^x x_L D_x^\alpha u(x, y, t) + d_2^x x D_{x_R}^\alpha u(x, y, t) + d_1^y y_L D_y^\beta u(x, y, t) + d_2^y y D_{y_R}^\beta u(x, y, t) \\ & + \kappa_x \frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial x} + \kappa_y \frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial y} + f(x, y, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Analogously we still use the Crank-Nicolson scheme to do the discretization in time direction. Taking $u_{i,j}^n$ as the approximated value of $u(x_i, y_j, t_n)$, using the two-dimension case of (2.1)-(2.6), we can write (2.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\alpha,+x} + \delta''_{\alpha,-x} + D''_{\alpha,x} \right) - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\beta,+y} + \delta''_{\beta,-y} + D''_{\beta,y} \right) \right] u(x_i, y_j, t_{n+1}) \\ & = \left[1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\alpha,+x} + \delta''_{\alpha,-x} + D''_{\alpha,x} \right) + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\beta,+y} + \delta''_{\beta,-y} + D''_{\beta,y} \right) \right] u(x_i, y_j, t_n) \\ & \quad + \tau f(x_i, y_j, t_{n+1/2}) + R_{i,j}^{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$|R_{i,j}^{n+1}| \leq \tilde{c}\tau(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2). \quad (2.17)$$

Using the notations of (2.6), we further define

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha,x} &:= \delta''_{\alpha,+x} + \delta''_{\alpha,-x} + D''_{\alpha,x}; \\ \delta_{\beta,y} &:= \delta''_{\beta,+y} + \delta''_{\beta,-y} + D''_{\beta,y}, \end{aligned}$$

thus, the resulting discretization of (2.15) can be written as a Crank-Nicolson type finite difference equation

$$\frac{u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^n}{\tau} = \frac{\delta_{\alpha,x} u_{i,j}^{n+1} + \delta_{\alpha,x} u_{i,j}^n + \delta_{\beta,y} u_{i,j}^{n+1} + \delta_{\beta,y} u_{i,j}^n}{2} + f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}, \quad (2.18)$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\alpha,+x} + \delta''_{\alpha,-x} + D''_{\alpha,x} \right) - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\beta,+y} + \delta''_{\beta,-y} + D''_{\beta,y} \right) \right] u_{i,j}^{n+1} \\ & = \left[1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\alpha,+x} + \delta''_{\alpha,-x} + D''_{\alpha,x} \right) + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\delta''_{\beta,+y} + \delta''_{\beta,-y} + D''_{\beta,y} \right) \right] u_{i,j}^n + \tau f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

or

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x} - \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\beta,y} \right) u_{i,j}^{n+1} = \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x} + \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\beta,y} \right) u_{i,j}^n + \tau f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}. \quad (2.20)$$

The perturbation equation of (2.20) is of the form

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\beta,y} \right) u_{i,j}^{n+1} = \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\beta,y} \right) u_{i,j}^n + \tau f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}. \quad (2.21)$$

Comparing (2.21) with (2.20), the splitting term is given by

$$\frac{\tau^2}{4} \delta_{\alpha,x} \delta_{\beta,y} (u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^n), \quad (2.22)$$

since $(u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^n)$ is an $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$ term, it implies that this perturbation contributes an $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$ error component to the truncation error of the Crank-Nicolson finite difference method (2.18).

The system of equations defined by (2.21) can be solved by the following systems.
PR-ADI scheme [16]:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) u_{i,j}^* = \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j}^n + \frac{\tau}{2}f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}; \quad (2.23)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j}^{n+1} = \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) u_{i,j}^* + \frac{\tau}{2}f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}. \quad (2.24)$$

D-ADI scheme [5, 6, 7]:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) u_{i,j}^* = \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x} + \tau\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j}^n + \tau f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}; \quad (2.25)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j}^{n+1} = u_{i,j}^* - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}u_{i,j}^n. \quad (2.26)$$

Take

$$\mathbf{U}^n = [u_{1,1}^n, u_{2,1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,1}^n, u_{1,2}^n, u_{2,2}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, u_{1,N_y-1}^n, u_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T,$$

$$\mathbf{F}^n = [f_{1,1}^n, f_{2,1}^n, \dots, f_{N_x-1,1}^n, f_{1,2}^n, f_{2,2}^n, \dots, f_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, f_{1,N_y-1}^n, f_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, f_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T,$$

and denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_x &= \frac{d_1^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes A_\alpha + \frac{d_2^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes A_\alpha^T + \frac{\kappa_x \tau}{4\Delta x} I \otimes B, \\ \mathcal{B}_y &= \frac{d_1^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} A_\beta \otimes I + \frac{d_2^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} A_\beta^T \otimes I + \frac{\kappa_y \tau}{4\Delta y} B \otimes I, \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where I denotes the unit matrix and the symbol \otimes the Kronecker product [13], the matrixes A_α , A_β and B are defined by (2.14) corresponding to α and β , respectively. Thus, the finite difference scheme (2.21) has the following form

$$(I - \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{U}^{n+1} = (I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{U}^n + \tau\mathbf{F}^{n+1/2}. \quad (2.28)$$

Remark 2.2. The schemes (2.23)-(2.24) and (2.25)-(2.26) are equivalent, since both of them come from (2.21).

2.4. D-ADI scheme for 3D

Using the notations of (2.1)-(2.6), we can write (1.1) as the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x} - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y} - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_{n+1}) \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x} + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y} + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n) + \tau f(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_{n+1/2}) + R_{i,j,m}^{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\alpha,x} &:= \delta''_{\alpha,+x} + \delta''_{\alpha,-x} + D''_{\alpha,x}; \\ \delta_{\beta,y} &:= \delta''_{\beta,+y} + \delta''_{\beta,-y} + D''_{\beta,y}; \\ \delta_{\gamma,z} &:= \delta''_{\gamma,+z} + \delta''_{\gamma,-z} + D''_{\gamma,z}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

and

$$|R_{i,j,m}^{n+1}| \leq \tilde{c}\tau(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta z)^2). \quad (2.31)$$

Similarly, the full discretization scheme of (1.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x} - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y} - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u_{i,j,m}^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x} + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y} + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u_{i,j,m}^n + \tau f_{i,j,m}^{n+1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

The perturbation equation of (2.32) is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u_{i,j,m}^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u_{i,j,m}^n + f_{i,j,m}^{n+1/2} \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

The scheme (2.33) differs from (2.32) by the perturbation term

$$\frac{(\Delta t)^2}{4} (\delta_{\alpha,x} \delta_{\beta,y} + \delta_{\alpha,x} \delta_{\gamma,z} + \delta_{\beta,y} \delta_{\gamma,z}) (u_{i,j,m}^{k+1} - u_{i,j,m}^n) - \frac{(\tau)^3}{8} \delta_{\alpha,x} \delta_{\beta,y} \delta_{\gamma,z} (u_{i,j,m}^{k+1} - u_{i,j,m}^n).$$

The system of equations defined by (2.33) can be solved by the D-ADI scheme [5, 6, 7]:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) u_{i,j,m}^{n,1} = \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x} + \tau\delta_{\beta,y} + \tau\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u_{i,j,m}^n + \tau f_{i,j,m}^{n+1/2}; \quad (2.34)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j,m}^{n,2} = u_{i,j,m}^{n,1} - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y} u_{i,j,m}^n; \quad (2.35)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z}\right) u_{i,j,m}^{n+1} = u_{i,j,m}^{n,2} - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\gamma,z} u_{i,j,m}^n. \quad (2.36)$$

Similarly, we suppose

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^n = & \left[u_{1,1,1}^n, u_{2,1,1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,1,1}^n, u_{1,2,1}^n, u_{2,2,1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,2,1}^n, \dots, \right. \\ & u_{1,N_y-1,1}^n, u_{2,N_y-1,1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,N_y-1,1}^n, \rightarrow \\ & u_{1,1,2}^n, u_{2,1,2}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,1,2}^n, u_{1,2,2}^n, u_{2,2,2}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,2,2}^n, \dots, \\ & u_{1,N_y-1,2}^n, u_{2,N_y-1,2}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,N_y-1,2}^n, \rightarrow \\ & \dots u_{1,1,N_z-1}^n, u_{2,1,N_z-1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,1,N_z-1}^n, u_{1,2,N_z-1}^n, u_{2,2,N_z-1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,2,N_z-1}^n, \dots, \rightarrow \\ & \left. u_{1,N_y-1,N_z-1}^n, u_{2,N_y-1,N_z-1}^n, \dots, u_{N_x-1,N_y-1,N_z-1}^n \right]^T, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_x &= \frac{d_1^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes I \otimes A_\alpha + \frac{d_2^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes I \otimes A_\alpha^T + \frac{\kappa_x \tau}{4\Delta x} I \otimes I \otimes B, \\ \mathcal{A}_y &= \frac{d_1^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} I \otimes A_\beta \otimes I + \frac{d_2^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} I \otimes A_\beta^T \otimes I + \frac{\kappa_y \tau}{4\Delta y} I \otimes B \otimes I, \\ \mathcal{A}_z &= \frac{d_1^z \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\gamma)(\Delta z)^\gamma} A_\gamma \otimes I \otimes I + \frac{d_2^z \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\gamma)(\Delta z)^\gamma} A_\gamma^T \otimes I \otimes I + \frac{\kappa_z \tau}{4\Delta z} B \otimes I \otimes I, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where I denotes the unit matrix and the symbol \otimes the Kronecker product [13], the matrixes A_α , A_β , A_γ and B are defined by (2.14) corresponding to α , β and γ , respectively. Thus, the finite difference scheme (2.33) has the following form

$$(I - \mathcal{A}_x)(I - \mathcal{A}_y)(I - \mathcal{A}_z) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^{n+1} = (I + \mathcal{A}_x)(I + \mathcal{A}_y)(I + \mathcal{A}_z) \tilde{\mathbf{U}}^n + \tau \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{n+1/2}. \quad (2.38)$$

The corresponding procedure is executed as follows:

- (1) First for every fixed $z = z_m$ ($m = 1, \dots, N_z - 1$), and each fixed $y = y_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, N_y - 1$), solving a set of $N_x - 1$ equations defined by (2.34) at the mesh points $x_k, k = 1, \dots, N_x - 1$, to get $u_{k,j,m}^{n,1}$;
- (2) Next alternating the spatial direction, and for each fixed $x = x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N_x - 1$), and each fixed $z = z_m$ ($m = 1, \dots, N_z - 1$), solving a set of $N_y - 1$ equations defined by (2.35) at the points $y_k, k = 1, \dots, N_y - 1$, to obtain $u_{i,k,m}^{n,2}$.
- (3) At last alternating the spatial direction again, and for each fixed $y = y_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, N_y - 1$), and each fixed $x = x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, N_x - 1$), solving a set of $N_z - 1$ equations defined by (2.36) at the points $z_k, k = 1, \dots, N_z - 1$, to gain $u_{i,j,k}^{n+1}$.

3. Improved Accuracy for D-ADI and FS Procedures

This section shows that the idea of improving the accuracy of D-ADI and FS procedures [7] also works well when used to solve Riesz fractional diffusion equation (1.1'). For the simpleness to illustrate and discuss this, we focuses on two-dimensional case of (1.1'). It is natural to extend higher dimensions. The reason why we abruptly discuss FS procedure here is because we want to show FS method is equivalent to D-ADI after some minor modifications even when solving fractional PDEs.

3.1. Correction term for the D-ADI method

The D-ADI scheme of (2.15) introduces the splitting error term (2.22). Even though it is still with the order $\mathcal{O}(\tau^2)$, sometimes it will seriously impair the accuracy, see Table 3.1. If we add

$$\frac{\tau^2}{4} \delta_{\alpha,x} \delta_{\beta,y} (u_{i,j}^n - u_{i,j}^{n-1}) \quad (3.1)$$

to the right hand side of (2.25), then the new D-ADI, called D-ADI-II, will have the splitting error

$$\frac{\tau^2}{4} \delta_{\alpha,x} \delta_{\beta,y} (u_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j}^{n-1}), \quad (3.2)$$

then the splitting error is reduced to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$. The D-ADI-II is obviously two-step method; $u_{i,j}^1$ can be obtained by D-ADI first, then initiate D-ADI-II.

3.2. Correction term for the FS method

The original FS method for (2.15) should be

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u_{i,j}^{n,1} - u_{i,j}^n}{\tau} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x} (u_{i,j}^{n,1} + u_{i,j}^n) + f^{n+\frac{1}{2}}; \\ \frac{u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^{n,1}}{\tau} &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\beta,y} (u_{i,j}^{n+1} + u_{i,j}^{n,1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x}) u_{i,j}^{n,1} &= (1 + \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\alpha,x}) u_{i,j}^n + \tau f^{n+\frac{1}{2}}; \\ (1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\beta,y}) u_{i,j}^{n+1} &= u_{i,j}^{n,1} + \frac{\tau}{2} \delta_{\beta,y} u_{i,j}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Comparing (3.3) with (2.20), the splitting term is given by

$$\frac{\tau^2}{4}\delta_{\alpha,x}\delta_{\beta,y}(u_{i,j}^{n+1} + u_{i,j}^n), \quad (3.5)$$

which is of the order $\mathcal{O}(\tau)$ error component to the truncation error of the Crank-Nicolson finite difference method (2.18). However, if we add

$$\frac{\tau^2}{4}\delta_{\alpha,x}\delta_{\beta,y}(3u_{i,j}^n - u_{i,j}^{n-1}) \quad (3.6)$$

to the right hand side of the first equation of (3.4), then we get the new FS method, called FS-II, with the splitting error (3.2), i.e., the splitting error is reduced to $\mathcal{O}(\tau^3)$.

The FS-II is equivalent to D-ADI-II, since both of them come from the following perturbation equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j}^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\alpha,x}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2}\delta_{\beta,y}\right) u_{i,j}^n + \frac{\tau^2}{4}\delta_{\alpha,x}\delta_{\beta,y}(u_{i,j}^n - u_{i,j}^{n-1}) + \tau f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{u_{i,j}^{n+1} - u_{i,j}^n}{\tau} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\alpha,x} + \delta_{\beta,y})(u_{i,j}^{n+1} + u_{i,j}^n) - \frac{\tau}{4}\delta_{\alpha,x}\delta_{\beta,y}(u_{i,j}^{n+1} - 2u_{i,j}^n + u_{i,j}^{n-1}) + f_{i,j}^{n+1/2}. \quad (3.7)$$

3.3. Accuracy and efficiency of the D-ADI, D-ADI-II, and FS-II methods

To check the accuracy and efficiency of the D-ADI, D-ADI-II, and FS-II schemes, we consider the two-dimensional case of the Riesz fractional equation (1.1'), on a finite domain $0 < x < 1$, $0 < y < 1$, $0 < t \leq 1$, with the coefficients $d_1^x = d_1^y = 1$, and the initial condition $u(x, y, 0) = \sin((2x)^4)\sin((2-2x)^4)\sin((2y)^2)\sin((2-2y)^2)$ and the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the rectangle in the form $u(0, y, t) = u(x, 0, t) = 0$ and $u(1, y, t) = u(x, 1, t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. The exact solution to this two-dimensional Riesz fractioanl diffusion equation is

$$u(x, y, t) = e^{-t}\sin((2x)^4)\sin((2-2x)^4)\sin((2y)^4)\sin((2-2y)^4).$$

By the algorithm given in [3] and above conditions, it is easy to obtain the forcing function $f(x, y, t)$ at anywhere of the considered rectangle domain with any desired accuracy.

Table 3.1: The performance of the D-ADI, D-ADI-II, and FS-II methods with $\Delta x = \Delta y = 1/100$, and the maximum errors (5.1).

$\alpha = 1.9, \beta = 1.9$	$\tau = 10\Delta x$	$\tau = 5\Delta x$	$\tau = 5\Delta x/2$	$\tau = \Delta x$
D-ADI	3.3496e-02	7.6895e-03	2.0624e-03	6.0826e-04
FS-II	2.2638e-03	1.7249e-04	2.7765e-04	3.3166e-04
D-ADI-II	2.2638e-03	1.7249e-04	2.7765e-04	3.3166e-04

From Table 3.1, we further verify that the D-ADI-II is equivalent to the FS-II method, and they may reduce the perturbation error of D-ADI procedure and improve the accuracy.

4. Convergence and Stability Analysis

In the following, we denote by H the symmetric (or hermitian) part of A if A is real (or complex) matrix, and $\|\cdot\|$ the matrix 2-norm.

Lemma 4.1. [1, 18] *The coefficients g_l^μ , $\mu \in (1, 2)$ defined in (2.3) satisfy the following properties*

- (1) $g_0^\mu = 1, g_1^\mu = -4 + 2^{3-\mu} < 0, g_2^\mu = 6 - 2^{5-\mu} + 3^{3-\mu};$
- (2) $1 \geq g_0^\mu \geq g_3^\mu \geq g_4^\mu \geq \dots \geq 0;$
- (3) $\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} g_l^\mu = 0, \sum_{l=0}^m g_l^\mu < 0, m \geq 2.$

Lemma 4.2. [17, p. 28] *A real matrix A of order n is positive definite if and only if its symmetric part $H = \frac{A+A^T}{2}$ is positive definite; H is positive definite if and only if the eigenvalues of H are positive.*

Lemma 4.3. [17, p. 184] *If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, let $H = \frac{A+A^H}{2}$ be the hermitian part of A , then for any eigenvalue λ of A , the real part $\Re(\lambda(A))$ satisfies*

$$\lambda_{\min}(H) \leq \Re(\lambda(A)) \leq \lambda_{\max}(H),$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(H)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(H)$ are the minimum and maximum of the eigenvalues of H , respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Let matrix A_α be defined by (2.14), where $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, then for any eigenvalue λ of A_α , the real part $\Re(\lambda(A_\alpha)) < 0$, and the matrix A_α is negative definite. Moreover, $\Re(\lambda(d_1 A_\alpha + d_2 A_\alpha^T)) < 0$, where $d_1, d_2 \geq 0$, $d_1^2 + d_2^2 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $H = \frac{A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T}{2}$, by (2.14) we know

$$H \equiv (h_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha & g_3^\alpha & \cdots & g_{N_x-2}^\alpha & g_{N_x-1}^\alpha \\ g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha & 2g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha & g_3^\alpha & \cdots & g_{N_x-2}^\alpha \\ g_3^\alpha & g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha & 2g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & g_3^\alpha \\ g_{N_x-2}^\alpha & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 2g_1^\alpha & g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha \\ g_{N_x-1}^\alpha & g_{N_x-2}^\alpha & g_3^\alpha & \cdots & g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha & 2g_1^\alpha \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

From Lemma 4.1, it is easy to check that $g_0^\alpha + g_2^\alpha > 0$, and the sum of the absolute value of the off-diagonal entries on the row i of matrix H is given by

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N_x-1} |h_{i,j}| < -g_1^\alpha.$$

According to the Gerschgorin theorem [11, p. 135], the eigenvalues of the matrix H are in the disks centered at $h_{i,i}$, with radius r_i , i.e., the eigenvalues λ of the matrix H satisfies

$$|\lambda - h_{i,i}| = |\lambda - g_1^\alpha| \leq r_i,$$

it implies that $\lambda(H) < 0$. From Lemma 4.2 and 4.3, we obtain that $\Re(\lambda(A_\alpha)) < 0$ and A is negative definite. Taking

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{(d_1 A_\alpha + d_2 A_\alpha^T) + (d_1 A_\alpha + d_2 A_\alpha^T)^T}{2} = (d_1 + d_2) \frac{A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T}{2} = (d_1 + d_2)H,$$

similarly, we can prove $\Re(\lambda(d_1 A_\alpha + d_2 A_\alpha^T)) < 0$.

In the following, we list some properties of the Kronecker Product.

Lemma 4.4. [13, p. 140] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times s}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times t}$. Then

$$(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD \quad (\in \mathbb{R}^{mr \times pt}).$$

Lemma 4.5. [13, p. 140] For all A and B , $(A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$.

Lemma 4.6. [13, p. 141] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ have eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ have eigenvalues $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^m$. Then the mn eigenvalues of $A \otimes B$ are

$$\lambda_1 \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_1 \mu_m, \lambda_2 \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_2 \mu_m, \dots, \lambda_n \mu_1, \dots, \lambda_n \mu_m.$$

Theorem 4.2. Let \mathcal{A}_x , \mathcal{A}_y and \mathcal{A}_z be defined by (2.37). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}\| &\leq 1, \\ \|(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu)\| &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = x, y, z$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.5 and (2.37), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{A}_x + \mathcal{A}_x^T}{2} &= \frac{(d_1^x + d_2^x)\tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes I \otimes \left(\frac{A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T}{2} \right); \\ \frac{\mathcal{A}_y + \mathcal{A}_y^T}{2} &= \frac{(d_1^y + d_2^y)\tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} I \otimes \left(\frac{A_\beta + A_\beta^T}{2} \right) \otimes I; \\ \frac{\mathcal{A}_z + \mathcal{A}_z^T}{2} &= \frac{(d_1^z + d_2^z)\tau}{2\Gamma(4-\gamma)(\Delta z)^\gamma} \left(\frac{A_\gamma + A_\gamma^T}{2} \right) \otimes I \otimes I. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

According to Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.6, we know that $\mathcal{A}_\nu + \mathcal{A}_\nu^T$ are negative definite and symmetric matrices, where $\nu = x, y, z$. Then for any $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$v^T v \leq v^T (I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)v.$$

Substituting v and v^T by $(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}v$ and $v^T(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)^{-1}$, respectively, leads to

$$v^T (I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)^{-1} (I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1} v \leq v^T v.$$

Then, there exists

$$\|(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}\| = \sup_{v \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{v^T (I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)^{-1} (I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1} v}{v^T v}} \leq 1.$$

Similarly, we have

$$v^T (I + \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu)v \leq v^T (I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)v.$$

Taking v by $(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}v$, then the above equation can be rewritten as

$$v^T(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu)(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}v \leq v^T v.$$

From Lemma 4.4, it is to check that \mathcal{A}_x , \mathcal{A}_y and \mathcal{A}_z commute, then it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu)\| &= \|(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu)(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}\| \\ &= \sup_{v \neq 0} \sqrt{\frac{v^T(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu^T)(I + \mathcal{A}_\nu)(I - \mathcal{A}_\nu)^{-1}v}{v^T v}} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

4.1. Stability and Convergence for 1D

Theorem 4.3. *The difference scheme (2.11) with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. Let \tilde{u}_i^n ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1$; $n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t$) be the approximate solution of u_i^n , which is the exact solution of the difference scheme (2.11). Putting $\epsilon_i^n = \tilde{u}_i^n - u_i^n$, and denoting $E^n = [\epsilon_1^n, \epsilon_2^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1}^n]$, then from (2.11) we obtain the following perturbation equation

$$(I - M)E^{n+1} = (I + M)E^n,$$

where

$$M = \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\frac{d_1^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A + \frac{d_2^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A^T + \frac{\kappa_x}{2\Delta x} B \right). \quad (4.3)$$

Denoting λ as an eigenvalue of the matrix M , and using (4.3), there exists

$$\frac{M + M^T}{2} = \frac{\tau(d_1^x + d_2^x)}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} H,$$

where H is defined by (4.1) and negative definite by the proof Theorem 4.1, then from Lemma 4.3, we get $\Re(\lambda(M)) < 0$.

Note that λ is an eigenvalue of the matrix M if and only if $1 - \lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $I - M$, if and only if $(1 - \lambda)^{-1}(1 + \lambda)$ is an eigenvalue of the matrix $(I - M)^{-1}(I + M)$. Since $\Re(\lambda(M)) < 0$, it implies that $|(1 - \lambda)^{-1}(1 + \lambda)| < 1$. Thus, the spectral radius of the matrix $(I - M)^{-1}(I + M)$ is less than 1, hence the scheme (2.11) is unconditionally stable.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $u(x_i, t_n)$ be the exact solution of (2.7) with $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, and u_i^n be the solution of the finite difference scheme (2.11), then there is a positive constant C such that*

$$\|u(x_i, t_n) - u_i^n\| \leq C(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t.$$

Proof. Denoting $e_i^n = u(x_i, t_n) - u_i^n$, and $e^n = [e_1^n, e_2^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1}^n]^T$. Subtracting (2.9) from (2.11) and using $e^0 = 0$, we obtain

$$(I - M)e^{n+1} = (I + M)e^n + R^{n+1},$$

where M is defined by (4.3), and $R^n = [R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1}^n]^T$. The above equation can be rewritten as

$$e^{n+1} = (I - M)^{-1}(I + M)e^n + (I - M)^{-1}R^{n+1},$$

and taking the 2-norm on both sides, similar to the proof of the Theorem 4.2, we can show that $\|(I - M)^{-1}(I + M)\| \leq 1$. Then, using $|R_i^{n+1}| \leq \tilde{c}\tau(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2)$ in (2.10), we obtain

$$\|e^n\| \leq \|(I - M)^{-1}(I + M)e^{n-1}\| + \|R^n\| \leq \|e^{n-1}\| + \|R^n\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|R^{k+1}\| \leq c(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2).$$

4.2. Stability and Convergence for 2D

Theorem 4.5. *The difference scheme (2.21) with $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2)$ is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}_{i,j}^n$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, N_y - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t$) be the approximate solution of $u_{i,j}^n$, which is the exact solution of the difference scheme (2.21). Taking $\epsilon_{i,j}^n = \tilde{u}_{i,j}^n - u_{i,j}^n$, then from (2.21) we obtain the following perturbation equation

$$(I - \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{E}^{n+1} = (I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{E}^n, \quad (4.4)$$

where \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_y are given in (2.27), and

$$\mathbf{E}^n = [\epsilon_{1,1}^n, \epsilon_{2,1}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1,1}^n, \epsilon_{1,2}^n, \epsilon_{2,2}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{1,N_y-1}^n, \epsilon_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T,$$

and we can write (4.4) as the following form

$$\mathbf{E}^{n+1} = (I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{E}^n. \quad (4.5)$$

Using Lemma 4.4, it is to check that \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_y commute, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_x \mathcal{B}_y &= \mathcal{B}_y \mathcal{B}_x = \left(\frac{d_1^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} A_\beta + \frac{d_2^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} A_\beta^T + \frac{\kappa_y \tau}{4\Delta y} B \right) \\ &\quad \otimes \left(\frac{d_1^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A_\alpha + \frac{d_2^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} A_\alpha^T + \frac{\kappa_x \tau}{4\Delta x} B \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Then Eq. (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{E}^n = ((I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y))^n (((I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x))^n \mathbf{E}^0).$$

From Lemma 4.5 and (2.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{B}_x + \mathcal{B}_x^T}{2} &= \frac{(d_1^x + d_2^x)\tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes \left(\frac{A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T}{2} \right); \\ \frac{\mathcal{B}_y + \mathcal{B}_y^T}{2} &= \frac{(d_1^y + d_2^y)\tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} \left(\frac{A_\beta + A_\beta^T}{2} \right) \otimes I. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, the eigenvalues of $\frac{A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T}{2}$ and $\frac{A_\beta + A_\beta^T}{2}$ are all negative when $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2)$. Let λ_x and λ_y be an eigenvalue of matrices \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_y , respectively. From Lemma 4.6, we get $\Re(\lambda_x) < 0$ and $\Re(\lambda_y) < 0$, then, the eigenvalues of the matrices $(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)$ and $(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y)$, $(1 - \lambda_x)^{-1}(1 + \lambda_x)$ and $(1 - \lambda_y)^{-1}(1 + \lambda_y)$ are less than one. And it follows that $((I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y))^n$ and $((I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x))^n$ converge to zero matrix [17, p. 26], as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the difference scheme (2.19) is unconditionally stable.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $u(x_i, y_j, t_n)$ be the exact solution of (2.15) with $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2)$, and $u_{i,j}^n$ be the solution of the finite difference scheme (2.21), then there is a positive constant C such that*

$$\|u(x_i, y_j, t_n) - u_{i,j}^n\| \leq C(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2),$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, N_y - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t$.

Proof. Taking $e_{i,j}^n = u(x_i, y_j, t_n) - u_{i,j}^n$, and subtracting (2.16) from (2.21), we obtain

$$(I - \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{e}^{n+1} = (I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{e}^n + \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, \quad (4.7)$$

where \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_y are given in (2.27), and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}^n &= [e_{1,1}^n, e_{2,1}^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1,1}^n, e_{1,2}^n, e_{2,2}^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, e_{1,N_y-1}^n, e_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T, \\ \mathbf{R}^n &= [R_{1,1}^n, R_{2,1}^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1,1}^n, R_{1,2}^n, R_{2,2}^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, R_{1,N_y-1}^n, R_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T, \end{aligned}$$

and $|R_{i,j}^{n+1}| \leq \tilde{c}\tau(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2)$ is given in (2.17).

Since \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_y commutes in (4.6), then Eq. (4.7) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{e}^{n+1} = (I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{e}^n + (I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{n+1},$$

and taking the 2-norm on both sides, similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2, it can be proven that $\|(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\| \leq \|(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)\| \cdot \|(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\| \leq 1$ and $\|(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}\| \leq \|(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}\| \cdot \|(I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Then we get

$$\|\mathbf{e}^n\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\| \leq c(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2).$$

By almost the same proof to the theorems of 2D, we can prove the following results for 3D.

Theorem 4.7. *The difference scheme (2.33) of the fractional convection diffusion equation (1.1) with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (1, 2)$ is unconditionally stable.*

Theorem 4.8. *Let $u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n)$ be the exact solution of (1.1) with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (1, 2)$, and $u_{i,j,m}^n$ be the solution of the finite difference scheme (2.33), then there is a positive constant C such that*

$$\|u(x_i, y_j, z_m, t_n) - u_{i,j,m}^n\| \leq C(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta z)^2),$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, N_y - 1; m = 1, 2, \dots, N_z - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t$.

4.3. Stability and Convergence of (1.1') by using D-ADI-II and FS-II

To prove the stability and convergence of D-ADI-II and FS-II for (1.1'), we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.7. [9, p. 396] *If P and $P + Q$ are m -by- m symmetric matrices, then*

$$\lambda_k(P) + \lambda_m(Q) \leq \lambda_k(P + Q) \leq \lambda_k(P) + \lambda_1(Q), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_1(\cdot) \geq \lambda_2(\cdot) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m(\cdot)$.

Lemma 4.8. [10, p. 84] *Let the quadratic equation be $\lambda^2 - b\lambda + c = 0$, where b and c are both real parameters, then all roots satisfy $|\lambda| < 1$ if and only if $|b| < 1 + c < 2$.*

Theorem 4.9. *The difference scheme (3.7) corresponding to two-dimensional case of (1.1') with $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2)$ is unconditionally stable.*

Proof. For the two-dimensional case of (1.1'), Eq. (2.27) has the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_x &= \frac{d^x \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes (A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T) = \frac{\tau}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x = \frac{d^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} I \otimes (A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T), \\ \mathcal{B}_y &= \frac{d^y \tau}{2\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} (A_\beta + A_\beta^T) \otimes I = \frac{\tau}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y, \quad \text{where } \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y = \frac{d^y}{\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} (A_\beta + A_\beta^T) \otimes I,\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y$ commute, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x = \frac{d^x}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^y}{\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} (A_\beta + A_\beta^T) \otimes (A_\alpha + A_\alpha^T).$$

Let $\tilde{u}_{i,j}^n$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, N_y - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t$) be the approximate solution of $u_{i,j}^n$, which is the exact solution of the difference scheme (3.7). Taking $\epsilon_{i,j}^n = \tilde{u}_{i,j}^n - u_{i,j}^n$, then from (3.7) we obtain the following perturbation equation

$$(I - \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{E}^{n+1} = (I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{E}^n + \mathcal{B}_x \mathcal{B}_y \mathbf{E}^n - \mathcal{B}_x \mathcal{B}_y \mathbf{E}^{n-1},$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbf{E}^{n+1} = (P + Q)\mathbf{E}^n - Q\mathbf{E}^{n-1},\tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\mathbf{E}^n = [\epsilon_{1,1}^n, \epsilon_{2,1}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1,1}^n, \epsilon_{1,2}^n, \epsilon_{2,2}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{1,N_y-1}^n, \epsilon_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, \epsilon_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T,$$

and

$$P = (I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y), \quad Q = (I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_x(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_y.$$

Therefore, Eq. (4.9) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}^n,$$

with

$$\mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}^{n+1} \\ \mathbf{E}^n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} P + Q & -Q \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From [21, p.128], we know that the eigenvalues of \mathbf{M} are the same as the eigenvalues of \mathbf{L} , where

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_k(P + Q) & -\lambda_k(Q) \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then the eigenvalues λ of \mathbf{M} satisfies

$$\lambda^2 - \lambda_k(P + Q)\lambda + \lambda_k(Q) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (m = N_x - 1 \cdot N_y - 1).$$

Similar to the above proof, we know that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y$ are negative definite and symmetric matrices, and the matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_y \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_x$ is positive definite and symmetric, it follows that $\lambda_k(P + Q)$ and $\lambda_k(Q)$ are real numbers, and we have

$$|\lambda_k(P)| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \lambda_k(Q) < 1,$$

According to Lemma 4.7 and 4.8, we get

$$-1 - \lambda_k(Q) < \lambda_k(Q) + \lambda_m(P) < \lambda_k(P + Q) < \lambda_k(Q) + \lambda_1(P) < \lambda_k(Q) + 1,$$

thus, the difference scheme is unconditionally stable.

Theorem 4.10. Let $u(x_i, y_j, t_n)$ be the exact solution of (2.15) corresponding to two-dimensional case of (1.1') with $\alpha, \beta \in (1, 2)$, and $u_{i,j}^n$ be the solution of the finite difference scheme (3.7), then there are a positive constant C and some kind of norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$\|u(x_i, y_j, t_n) - u_{i,j}^n\| \leq C(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2),$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N_x - 1; j = 1, 2, \dots, N_y - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots, N_t$.

Proof. For the two-dimensional case of (1.1'), taking $e_{i,j}^n = u(x_i, y_j, t_n) - u_{i,j}^n$, from (2.16) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(I - \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{e}^{n+1} = (I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I + \mathcal{B}_y)\mathbf{e}^n + \mathcal{B}_x\mathcal{B}_y(\mathbf{e}^n - \mathbf{e}^{n-1}) + R^{n+1}, \quad (4.10)$$

where \mathcal{B}_x and \mathcal{B}_y are given in (4.8), and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}^n &= [e_{1,1}^n, e_{2,1}^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1,1}^n, e_{1,2}^n, e_{2,2}^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, e_{1,N_y-1}^n, e_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, e_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T, \\ R^n &= [R_{1,1}^n, R_{2,1}^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1,1}^n, R_{1,2}^n, R_{2,2}^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1,2}^n, \dots, R_{1,N_y-1}^n, R_{2,N_y-1}^n, \dots, R_{N_x-1,N_y-1}^n]^T, \end{aligned}$$

and $|R_{i,j}^{n+1}| \leq \tilde{c}\tau(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2)$ is given in (2.17). Similarly, taking

$$\begin{aligned} P &= (I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_x)(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}(I + \mathcal{B}_y); \\ Q &= (I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_x(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}\mathcal{B}_y; \\ S &= (I - \mathcal{B}_x)^{-1}(I - \mathcal{B}_y)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then, Eq. (4.10) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}^n + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{R}^{n+1}, \quad (4.11)$$

with

$$\mathbf{V}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}^{n+1} \\ \mathbf{e}^n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{R}^{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} R^{n+1} \\ R^n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} P + Q & -Q \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{N} = \begin{bmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we can prove $|\lambda_k(\mathbf{N})| < 1$, then there exists some kind of norm $\|\cdot\|$ such that $\|\mathbf{M}\| \leq 1$, and $\|\mathbf{N}\| \leq 1$. Taking the norm on both sides of (4.11) leads to

$$\|\mathbf{e}^n\| \leq \|\mathbf{V}^n\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\| \leq c(\tau^2 + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2).$$

All the theoretical results for the three-dimensional case (1.1') can be obtained by the same way of the two-dimensional case of (1.1'). For the briefness of the paper, we omit them here.

5. Numerical Results

Here we verify the above theoretical results including convergent order and stability. Introducing the vectors $U(\Delta x) = [u_h(x_0, t), \dots, u_h(x_n, t)]^T$, where U is the approximated value, and $u(\Delta x) = [u(x_0, t), \dots, u(x_n, t)]^T$, where u is the exact value and the stepsize in space is Δx , i.e., $\Delta x = x_{i+1} - x_i$, in the following numerical examples the errors are measured by

$$\|U(\Delta x) - u(\Delta x)\|_\infty, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the maximum norm.

5.1. Numerical results for 1D

Let us consider the one-dimensional two-sided fractional convection diffusion equation (2.7), where $0 < x < 1$ and $0 < t \leq 1$, with the coefficients $d_1^x = d_2^x = \kappa_x = 1$, and the forcing function

$$f(x, t) = -e^{-t} \left[x^2 (1-x)^2 + (4x^3 - 6x^2 + 2x) + \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} (x^{2-\alpha} + (1-x)^{2-\alpha}) \right. \\ \left. - 2 \frac{\Gamma(4)}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} (x^{3-\alpha} + (1-x)^{3-\alpha}) + \frac{\Gamma(5)}{\Gamma(5-\alpha)} (x^{4-\alpha} + (1-x)^{4-\alpha}) \right],$$

the initial condition $u(x, 0) = x^2(1-x)^2$, the boundary conditions $u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0$, and the exact solution of the equation is $u(x, t) = e^{-t} x^2(1-x)^2$.

Table 5.1: The maximum errors (5.1) and convergent orders for the scheme (2.11) of the one-dimensional two-side fractional convection diffusion equation (2.7) at $t=1$ and $\Delta t = \Delta x$.

$\Delta t, \Delta x$	$\alpha = 1.1$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.5$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.9$	Rate
1/10	0.0022		0.0011		0.0010	
1/20	4.5729e-004	2.2916	2.6284e-004	2.0596	2.5502e-004	1.9917
1/40	1.0712e-004	2.0939	6.2954e-005	2.0618	6.4257e-005	1.9887
1/80	2.5242e-005	2.0853	1.5067e-005	2.0628	1.6169e-005	1.9906
1/160	5.9414e-006	2.0869	3.6083e-006	2.0620	4.0594e-006	1.9939

In Table 5.1, we show that the scheme (2.11) is second order convergent in both space and time.

5.2. Numerical results for 2D

Consider the two-dimensional two-sided space fractional convection diffusion equation (2.15), on a finite domain $0 < x < 2$, $0 < y < 2$, $0 < t \leq 2$, and with the coefficients

$$d_1^x = \Gamma(3-\alpha)x^\alpha, \quad d_2^x = \Gamma(3-\alpha)(2-x)^\alpha, \quad \kappa_x = \frac{1}{4}x, \\ d_1^y = \Gamma(3-\beta)y^\beta, \quad d_2^y = \Gamma(3-\beta)(2-y)^\beta, \quad \kappa_y = \frac{1}{4}y,$$

and the forcing function

$$f(x, y, t) = -4e^{-t} x^2 y^2 (x-2)(y-2)(3xy - 5x - 5y + 8) \\ - 32e^{-t} y^2 (2-y)^2 \left[x^2 + (2-x)^2 - \frac{3(x^3 + (2-x)^3)}{3-\alpha} + \frac{3(x^4 + (2-x)^4)}{(3-\alpha)(4-\alpha)} \right] \\ - 32e^{-t} x^2 (2-x)^2 \left[y^2 + (2-y)^2 - \frac{3(y^3 + (2-y)^3)}{3-\beta} + \frac{3(y^4 + (2-y)^4)}{(3-\beta)(4-\beta)} \right],$$

and the initial condition $u(x, y, 0) = 4x^2(2-x)^2 y^2(2-y)^2$ and the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the rectangle in the form $u(0, y, t) = u(x, 0, t) = 0$ and $u(2, y, t) = u(x, 2, t) = 0$ for all $t > 0$. The exact solution to this two-dimensional two-sided fractional convection diffusion equation is

$$u(x, y, t) = 4e^{-t} x^2 (2-x)^2 y^2 (2-y)^2.$$

Table 5.2: The maximum errors (5.1) and convergent orders for the scheme (2.25)-(2.26) of the two-dimensional two-sided fractional convection diffusion equation (2.15) at $t = 2$ with $\tau = \Delta x = \Delta y$.

$\tau, \Delta x, \Delta y$	$\alpha = 1.1, \beta = 1.2$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.5, \beta = 1.4$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.9, \beta = 1.9$	Rate
1/10	0.0133		0.0116		0.0109	
1/20	0.0033	1.9966	0.0029	1.9830	0.0028	1.9750
1/40	8.3408e-004	1.9985	7.4001e-004	1.9876	7.0378e-004	1.9739
1/80	2.0877e-004	1.9982	1.8612e-004	1.9913	1.7900e-004	1.9752
1/160	5.2231e-005	1.9990	4.6726e-005	1.9940	4.5468e-005	1.9770

Comparing Table 5.2 with Table 2 of [1], we further confirm that the PR-ADI and D-ADI are equivalent for solving two-dimensional equations, since they have the completely same maximum error values. Table 5.2 numerically shows that the D-ADI scheme (2.25)-(2.26) is second order convergent and this is in agreement with the order of the truncation error.

5.3. Numerical results for 3D

Consider the three-dimensional two-sided fractional convection diffusion equation (1.1), on a finite domain $0 < x < 2, 0 < y < 2, 0 < z < 2, 0 < t \leq 2$, and with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} d_1^x &= \Gamma(3 - \alpha)x^\alpha, & d_2^x &= \Gamma(3 - \alpha)(2 - x)^\alpha, & \kappa_x &= \frac{1}{4}x, \\ d_1^y &= \Gamma(3 - \beta)y^\beta, & d_2^y &= \Gamma(3 - \beta)(2 - y)^\beta, & \kappa_y &= \frac{1}{4}y, \\ d_1^z &= \Gamma(3 - \gamma)z^\gamma, & d_2^z &= \Gamma(3 - \gamma)(2 - z)^\gamma, & \kappa_z &= \frac{1}{4}z, \end{aligned}$$

and the zero Dirichlet boundary conditions on the cube for all $t > 0$, the exact solution to this three-dimensional two-sided fractional convection diffusion equation is

$$u(x, y, z, t) = 4e^{-t}x^2(2 - x)^2y^2(2 - y)^2z^2(2 - z)^2.$$

According to the above conditions, it is easy to get the forcing function $f(x, y, z, t)$.

Table 5.3: The maximum errors (5.1) and convergent orders for the scheme (2.34)-(2.36) of the three-dimensional two-sided fractional convection diffusion equation (1.1) at $t = 2$ with $\tau = \Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z$.

τ	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1.2$	Rate	$\alpha = 1.4, \beta = 1.5, \gamma = 1.6$	Rate	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 1.9$	Rate
1/10	1.2063e-002		1.3349e-002		1.5558e-002	
1/20	3.0047e-003	2.0053	3.3242e-003	2.0057	3.7859e-003	2.0390
1/40	7.5079e-004	2.0008	8.3225e-004	1.9979	9.4168e-004	2.0073
1/80	1.8773e-004	1.9997	2.0875e-004	1.9952	2.3625e-004	1.9949

Table 5.3 also shows the maximum error, at time $t = 2$ and $\tau = \Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z$, between the exact analytical value and the numerical value obtained by applying the D-ADI scheme (2.34)-(2.36), and the scheme is second order convergent and this is in agreement with the order of the truncation error.

6. Conclusions

This work provides an algorithm which can efficiently solve three-dimensional space fractional PDEs. The idea is to solve higher dimensional problem by the strategy of dimension by dimension. When realizing the idea, the splitting errors may be introduced, so the techniques of diminishing the influences of splitting errors are also discussed. The effectiveness of the algorithm is theoretically proved and numerically verified.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University under Grant No. NCET-09-0438, the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 10801067 and No. 11271173, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grant No. lzujbky-2010-63 and No. lzujbky-2012-k26.

References

- [1] M.H. Chen, W.H. Deng, A second-order numerical method for two-dimensional two-sided space fractional convection diffusion equation, Submitted for publication.
- [2] S. Chen, F. Liu, P. Zhuang, and V. Anh, Finite difference approximations for the fractional Fokker-Planck equation, *Appl. Math. Model.*, **33**:1 (2009), 256-273.
- [3] W.H. Deng, Numerical algorithm for the time fractional Fokker-Planck equation, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **227** (2007), 1510-1522.
- [4] W.H. Deng, Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **47** (2008), 204-226.
- [5] J. Douglis, On the numerical integration of $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = u_{tt}$ by implicit methods, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **3** (1955), 42-65.
- [6] J. Douglis, Alternating direction methods for three space variables, *Numer. Math.*, **6** (1964), 428-453.
- [7] J. Douglis, S. Kim, Improved accuracy for locally one-dimensional Methods for parabolic equations, *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, **11**:9 (2001), 1563-1579.
- [8] V.J. Ervin, J.P. Roop, Variational formulation for the stationary fractional advection dispersion equation, *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations*, **22** (2005), 558-576.
- [9] G.H. Golub, C.F. Van Loan, Matrix Computations, 3rd Ed, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996.
- [10] D.F. Griffiths, D.J. Higham, Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations, Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2010.
- [11] E. Isaacson, H.B. Keller, Analysis of Numerical Methods, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [12] S.M. Kenneth, R. Bertram, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations, Wiley-Interscience Publication, US, 1993.
- [13] A.J. Laub, Matrix Analysis for Scientists and Engineers, SIAM, 2005.
- [14] X.J. Li, C.J. Xu, A space-time spectral method for the time fractional diffusion equation, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **47** (2009), 2108-2131.
- [15] R. Metzler, J. Klafter, The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: A fractional dynamics approach, *Phys. Rep.*, **339** (2000), 1-77.
- [16] D. Peaceman, H. Rachford, The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, **3**:1 (1955), 28-41.
- [17] A. Quarteroni, R. Sacco, F. Saleri, Numerical Mathematics, 2nd ed., Springer 2007.

- [18] E. Sousa, C. Li, A weighted finite difference method for the fractional diffusion equation based on the Riemann-Liouville derivative, arXiv:1109.2345v1 [math.NA].
- [19] C. Tadjeran, M.M. Meerschaert, A second-order accurate numerical method for the two-dimensional fractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.*, **220** (2007), 813-823.
- [20] W.Y. Tian, H. Zhou, W.H. Deng, A Class of Second Order Difference Approximations for Solving Space Fractional Diffusion Equations, *Math. Comput.*, in press.
- [21] D.H. Yu, H.Z. Tang, Numerical Methods of Differential Equations (in Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 2004.